🕥 abrigo" 🕂 🥨 FARIN

Washington Bankers Association 2019 Executive Development Program Asset/Liability management

Dave Koch

Managing Direcor, Advisory Services dave.koch@abrigo.com 608.661.4217

Brain Teaser to Start!

• You have an 11-minute hourglass and a 7-minute hourglass. You need to measure exactly 15 minutes. How do you do it?









6 Parts of the ALM Process

- 1. Set achievable financial goals
- 2. Identify levels of financial risk
- 3. Develop appropriate risk measurement systems
- 4. Integrate measurements into management process (the ALCO process)
- 5. Evaluate new ideas before implementation to determine risk
- 6. Implement & track new strategies to maximize performance



<section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>









Why is a Capital Plan Important

- Business strategies need to be developed and applied in the context of a business plan or strategy.
- Issues confronting Fl's
 - Past asset quality issues affected earnings and capital accumulation in many shops.
 - The increased capital standards.
 - Formerly high liquidity levels that have dried up causing rising deposit costs that are decreasing spreads
 - Maturing loans and investments going back out at lower rates.

👩 abrigo 🕂 🚳 FARIN

11



- Core funding growth goals
- Non-Regulatory core funding goals
- · Goals for overall level of investments
- Goals for business plan or strategy we will be evaluating and stress testing

Bank BASEL III Capital Requirements

- How much capital is enough?
- New capital regulations
 - Changed focus from total assets to risk assets
 - New definition of "real" capital
 - Common equity vs.
 - Tier 1

SEARIN

- Changed the ratios used for compliance
- Established new MINIMUM standards
 - Expects you to hold MORE than minimums for safe & sound operation – a "buffer"
- No guidance or process for determining the necessary "buffer" levels?

 Basel III

 Pilar I

 Prinare I

 Enhanoed Minimur Capital & Leydofty Repursements

 Prinare II

 Enhanoed Kanimur Capital & Leydofty

 Capital Planning

🚯 abrigo 🗍

BASEL III Capital Definitions

Common Equity Tier 1 Capital

- · Common stockholder's equity,
- Plus Qualifying noncumulative perpetual preferred stock (including related surplus), and
- Plus Minority interest in the equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries;
- Plus outstanding cumulative preferred perpetual stock;
- Minus all intangible assets except mortgage servicing rights that are included in tier 1 capital.

🕥 abrigo 🕂 🔹 FARIN

13

Tangible Equity

- Subset of equity that is not "preferred" and not "intangible".
- Critically undercapitalized shops are those with this ratio below 2%
- Will normally be closed within 90 days So what is that list then?
 - Common Stockholder equity
 - Minority interests in equity of consolidated subsidiaries
 - Mortgage servicing rights

This definition is important later...

13

BASEL III Capital Definitions

Additional Tier 1 Capital

- Qualifying perpetual preferred stock
- Bank-issued small business lending fund (SBLF) and TARP
- Qualifying tier 1 minority interests
- Note total tier 1 capital is sum of common equity and other tier 1 amounts

Tier 2 Capital

- Allowance for loan and lease loss (capped at 1.25% of risk weighted assets)
- Qualifying preferred stock
- Subordinated debt
- Qualifying tier 2 minority interests
- Less deductions in tier 2 unconsolidated subsidiaries

14

🚯 abrigo 🕂

FARIN



PriorRuleFiTier 1 Leverage Capital3.0 / 4.04.Common Equity Tier 1 Risk-based Capitaln/a4.Tier 1 Risk-based Capital4.06.Total Risk-based Capital8.08.0
Tier 1 Leverage Capital3.0 / 4.04.0Common Equity Tier 1 Risk-based Capitaln/a4.0Tier 1 Risk-based Capital4.06.0Total Risk-based Capital8.08.0
Common Equity Tier 1 Risk-based Capitaln/a4.Tier 1 Risk-based Capital4.06.Total Risk-based Capital8.08.0
Tier 1 Risk-based Capital4.06.Total Risk-based Capital8.08.0
Total Risk-based Capital 8.0 8.











But wait, there's a new Capital Ratio Coming to Town...

- Community Bank Leverage Ratio
 - Designed to lower burden on smaller, well-capitalized banks
 - Open to banks with total consolidated assets <\$10 billion, and
 - Limited levels of off-balance sheet exposures, trading assets/liabilities, mtg servicing assets, and deferred tax assets (DTAs)
 - If filing the "advanced approach", not qualified for CBLR
- Calculation
 - Tangible equity capital / total consolidated assets
 - Tangible equity capital is total bank or holding company equity capital, prior to minority interests, excluding AOCI, DTAs, goodwill, and other intangible assets (other than mtg servicing rights)
- If CBLR > 9%, all other capital requirements are waived
 - Examiner judgement of risk can restrict if high risk levels are deemed to be present





Effective Capital Planning Process

- Set the planning horizon
- 2 year minimum (regulatory)
- Ideally 3 to 5 year
- Step 1 Set long-range goals
- Balance equation of earnings, capitalization, & growth
- Define desired balance sheet mix
 - Loans, investments, Non-earning assets
 - Core deposits, borrowing levels, other liabilities, capital
 - This sets up discussion on risk appetite

🐼 abrigo" 🕂 🥸 FARIN

• Step 2 – Set annual goals

• Determine annual progress goals

- Think of training for a marathon if you have never run?
- What is the plan that leads us to the desired financial goals?
- Helps set risk tolerance
- Provide framework for drilling down in greater detail

• Step 3 – Model the base plan

Determine if the plan can be achieved

Step 4 – Stress test the plan

Model with alternative key assumptions to retest results

Setting Achievable Financial Goals

- Step 1: Assess Long-Range Goals
- 30% dividend payout ratio
- Goal to increase capital 1% from 8% to 9%
- Grow assets 5% per year
- ROA Requirement = 2.02%!
- Historical ROA 1.0%
- Is this a realistic set of goals? What can we "give up" to meet everyone's wishes?

		ROA Re	equired to	Reach a D)esi	red Capi	ital Ratio			
	Beginning Assets	Total Capital	Target Capital Ratio	Annual Asset Growth	Ad C N	ditional apital eeded	% of NI Divided Payout	\$ D	ividend	Required ROA
	\$ 200,000	\$ 16,000	9.00%	0.00%	\$	2,000	30.00%	\$	857	1.43%
	\$ 200,000	\$ 16,000	9.00%	5.00%	\$	2,900	30.00%	\$	1,243	2.02%
	\$ 200,000	\$ 16,000	9.00%	10.00%	\$	3,800	30.00%	\$	1,629	2.59%
	\$ 200,000	\$ 16,000	9.00%	15.00%	\$	4,700	30.00%	\$	2,014	3.12%
🚯 abrigo" + 😒 FAR	RIN									

25

ROA Needed to Reach 12% ROE

ROA targets to	achieve 12	% ROE
Capital/Assets	ROE	ROA
6%	12%	0.72%
7%	12%	0.84%
8%	12%	0.96%
9%	12%	1.08%
10%	12%	1.20%
11%	12%	1.32%
12%	12%	1.44%
13%	12%	1.56%
14%	12%	1.68%

Will raising industry capital targets bring capital into the industry or drive it out?

Banking's basic leverage equation:

ROE = ROA * Leverage Where: Leverage = Assets/Capital

Higher Capital = Lower ROE or the need for higher ROA to support growth

🚯 abrigo" + 🐠 FARIN

26



ALM Financial Goals • Balancing Earnings, Growth & Capital • No earnings, no survival. Earnings provide engine to grow Grow too fast, capital and earnings pressure Regulatory pressure to grow capital forces trade-off · Make more net income • Slow growth or shrink What happens in this game if 3 teams pull together? Set PRIORITY on specific goals! Think about growth, earnings, capital & ROE = ROA * (Assets/Capital) risk 🚯 abrigo 👍 **SFARIN** NI/AC = NI/AA * AA/AC28 28





•	• <u>Step</u> • S • D • R	bing int lowed gr ividend _l OA retur	o Goals owth rat payout st ms to ma	e of asse ays at 30 nageable	ts and pla)% e levels	inned ca	pita	al targe	t					
	5 Year Capital Planning Model													
	Year	Beginning Assets	Total Capita	Start Capital I Ratio	Targeted Capital Ratio	Annual Asset Growth	As	sets After Growth	Ad C N	ditional apital eeded	% of NI Divided Payout	Re Ir	equired Net Icome	ROA
	Curront	\$ 200 000	\$ 16,000	8 0.0%	9 25%	0.00%	¢	200.000	¢	500	30 00%	¢	714	0.36%
	Year 2	\$ 200,000	\$ 16,500	8 25%	8.35%	3.00%	\$	206,000	\$	701	30.00%	\$	1 001	0.30%
	Year 3	\$ 206,000	\$ 17.201	8.35%	8.45%	4.00%	\$	214.240	\$	902	30.00%	\$	1,289	0.61%
	Year 4	\$ 214,240	\$ 18,103	8.45%	8.50%	5.00%	\$	224,952	\$	1,018	30.00%	\$	1,454	0.66%
	Year 5	\$ 224,952	\$ 19,121	8.50%	8.50%	5.00%	\$	236.200	\$	956	30.00%	\$	1,366	0.59%















<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)

- Definition:
 - An integrated approach to identifying, assessing, managing, and monitoring risk in a way that maximizes business success.
- Requires
 - Risk Management Systems to Assess "What Could Go Wrong", as well as,
 - Understanding of what must go right to be successful



🚯 abrigo 👍

SEARIN











2010 FFIEC IRR Guidance

- 2010-1A IRR Regulatory Guidance
 - Issued December 2009

• Restatement of 1996 Joint Agency Policy Statement on Interest Rate Risk

- FIL-52-96 Joint Agency Policy Statement: Interest Rate Risk
- http://www.fdic.gov/news/news/financial/1996/fil9652.html

3 Major Issues:

- Effective Policies & Governance
- Effective Measurements
- Meaningful & Adequate Reporting





Institutional ALCO Approaches

Regulatory Approach

- Run For Regulatory Compliance
 - Income at Risk Static
 - Value at Risk Historical
 - Maybe some Liquidity Testing
- Often outsourced
 - Cost/Time Savings
 - Duplicated Effort for Budgeting
- May Use Same Tool for Budget & Board Reports

🚯 abrigo + 🕸 FARIN

Management Approach

- Used to assess risk/return trade offs
- Run dynamic models of budgets/business plans
 - Regular update and roll of plan
 - Combine with regulatory analysis
- Usually in-house or high-end outsource
 - May split functions















Static vs. Dynamic IRR Measurement

- Static Systems
 - Measure IRR in Existing Balance Sheet
 - Fail To Consider Institution Strategy
 - Can't Be Used to Evaluate Risk/Return Tradeoffs
 - Regulatory Systems Are Static
 - IAR Examples Gap, Constant BS Income at Risk
 - VAR Examples Duration, Current VAR,

- Dynamic Systems
 - Measure IRR in Future Balance Sheet
 - Consider Institution Strategy
 - Evaluate Risk/Return Tradeoffs
 - IAR Example Dynamic BS Income at risk,
 - VAR Example Running VAR on projected balance sheets under projected interest rate scenarios

56

🚯 abrigo 👍

SFARIN











Value at Risk Concept

- Consider 401K or Personal Investments
- Value of Bonds goes UP when Rates go DOWN...why?
 - Bonds are fixed rates that will earn More as compared to new rates, creating "Market Value" greater than "Book Value"
 - Only real value if you SELL the asset

• Aren't the financial instruments on a balance sheet the same as your 401K investments?



🚯 abrigo 🦾

SEARIN



	Readi	ng	a Ma	rket	: Valu	ie Re	port		
	Rate Shock in Basis Poir	nts	Value \$ (Net Portfo Change	blio Value % Change	NPV Ratio	Min NPV Policy Limit	NPV Bps Chang	ge
	+300 bp		31,615	(70,010)	-69%	4.15%	6.00%	-736	b bp
	+200 bp		55,257	(46,368)	-46%	6.87%	6.00%	-463	3 bp
	+100 bp		81,093	(20,532)	-20%	9.58%	7.00%	-192	2 bp
	+30 bp 0 bp		101.625	-	2%	11.50%	8.00%		n/a
	-50 bp		120,416	18,791	18%	13.28%	n/a	178	3 bp
	-100 bp		134,014	32,389	32%	14.42%	8.00%	291	l bp
								<u> </u>	
	NPV Ratio	after			nterest Sens	sitivity Measu	ire	J	1
	200 bp sho	ock	0-100 bp	10	0-200 bp	200-400 b	p Over	400 bp	
	Over 12%		Minimal (1)	Mi	nimal (1)	Minimal (1) Mode	erate (2)	
	8% to 12%		Minimal (1)	Mi	nimal (1)	Moderate (2) Signif	icant (3)	
	4% to 8%	K	Minimal (1)	Mo	derate (2)	Significant	(3) Hig	gh (4)	
	Below 4%		Moderate (2) Sigr	nificant (3)	High (4)	Hig	gh (4)	
🕥 abrigo" + 🔬	FARIN								-







2015		National	Statistics of	on Risk Lim	its for Parall	el Shocks	
		12-Mont	th, Net Inter	rest Income	Econor	nic Value	of Equity
	Scenari	io 25th %il	e Median	75th %ile	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile
	-100	-10%	-8%	-5%	-15%	-10%	-5%
	100	-10%	-8%	-4%	-15%	-10%	-5%
	200	-15%	-10%	-5%	-25%	-20%	-10%
	300	-20%	-15%	-10%	-30%	-25%	-15%
	400	-25%	-20%	-10%	-40%	-30%	-15%
2017	 Г	Statistics	on Risk	Limits fo	r Parallel	Shocks	of Equity
017	Sconario	Statistics	on Risk Net Interest	Limits fo	r Parallel	Shocks nic Value o	of Equity
017	Scenario 2	Statistics 12-Month, 25th %ile	on Risk Net Interest Median	Limits fo Income 75th %ile	r Parallel Econor 25th %ile	Shocks nic Value o Median	of Equity 75th %ile
017	Scenario 2 -100	Statistics 12-Month, 25th %ile -10%	on Risk Net Interest Median -10%	Limits fo Income 75th %ile -5%	r Parallel Econor 25th %ile -15%	Shocks nic Value o Median -10%	of Equity 75th %ile -10%
017	Scenario 2 -100 100	Statistics 12-Month, 25th %ile -10% -10%	on Risk Net Interest Median -10% -10%	Limits fo Income 75th %ile -5% -10%	r Parallel Econor 25th %ile -15% -25%	Shocks nic Value o Median -10% -10% -20%	of Equity 75th %ile -10% -10%
2017	Scenario 2 -100 100 200 300	Statistics 12-Month, 25th %ile -10% -15% -20%	s on Risk Net Interest Median -10% -13% -13%	Limits fo Income 75th %ile -5% -10% -15%	r Parallel Econor 25th %ile -15% -25% -25% -30%	Shocks nic Value of Median -10% -10% -20% -30%	of Equity 75th %ile -10% -10% -16% -25%

Risk Levels – All Banks - 2017 Earnings at Risk - 12-Month, Net Interest Income, Parallel Shocks Largest Largest Scenario Loss 25th %ile Median 75th %ile Gain -100 -16% -5% -3% -1% 6% -8% 17% 100 -1% 1% 4% -15% 7% 32% 200 -2% 2% 300 -22% -4% 3% 9% 46% -30% 12% 400 -6% 3% 61% Statistics on Economic Value of Equity, Parallel Shocks Largest Largest Scenario Loss 25th %ile Median 75th %ile Gain -100 -27% -7% -1% 3% 18% 100 -14% -4% 0% 4% 18% 200 -27% -10% -2% 5% 33% 300 -41% -16% -4% 5% 46% 400 -57% -22% -6% 6% 58% 🚯 abrigo" 🕂 S FARIN 69

69

Earning	e at Dick . 1	Alanth blat			and the second second
	s at risk • I	2-Month, Net	interest inco	ome, Parallel	Shocks
Scenario	Largest Loss	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	Largest Gain
-100	-17%	-5%	-2%	0%	7%
100	-12%	-1%	1%	4%	18%
200	-16%	-2%	2%	7%	35%
300	-25%	-3%	2%	9%	53%
400	-31%	-7%	2%	11%	62%
Statis	tics on Eco Largest	onomic Valu	e of Equity	, Parallel Sh	Largest
Statis Scenario	tics on Eco Largest Loss	onomic Valu 25th %ile	e of Equity Median	, Parallel Sh 75th %ile	Largest Gain
Statis Scenario -100	tics on Eco Largest Loss -22%	25th %ile	e of Equity Median 0%	75th %ile	Largest Gain 20%
Statis Scenario -100 100	tics on Eco Largest Loss -22% -17%	25th %ile -5% -5%	e of Equity Median 0% -1%	7, Parallel Sh 75th %ile 4% 3%	Largest Gain 20% 23%
Statis Scenario -100 100 200	tics on Eco Largest Loss -22% -17% -35%	25th %ile -5% -5% -12%	e of Equity Median 0% -1% -4%	75th %ile 4% 3% 3%	Largest Gain 20% 23% 45%
Statis Scenario -100 100 200 300	tics on Ecc Largest Loss -22% -17% -35% -51%	25th %ile -5% -5% -12% -19%	e of Equity Median 0% -1% -4% -7%	75th %ile 4% 3% 3% 3%	Largest Gain 20% 23% 45% 56%



Risk Levels – Banks \$250-500 million Earnings at Risk - 12-Month, Net Interest Income, Parallel Shocks Largest Largest Scenario Loss 25th %ile Median 75th %ile Gain -100 -13% -2% -1% 6% -5% 100 -6% 1% 3% 14% -1% 200 -12% -3% 2% 6% 24% -21% -5% 33% 300 2% 8% 11% 400 -22% -7% 3% 46% Statistics on Economic Value of Equity, Parallel Shocks Largest Largest 25th %ile Median 75th %ile Scenario Loss Gain -100 -30% -8% -2% 3% 17% 100 -14% -5% 0% 3% 17% 200 -32% -2% -11% 4% 28% -5% 5% 300 -51% -17% 35% 400 -58% -22% -7% 4% 41% 🚯 abrigo + **SFARIN**

Risk Levels – Banks \$500 mil - \$1 billion

Earnings at Risk - 12-Month, Net Interest Income, Parallel Shocks

Scenario	Largest Loss	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	Largest Gain
-100	-16%	-5%	-3%	-1%	6%
100	-8%	-2%	1%	2%	10%
200	-15%	-3%	1%	5%	20%
300	-22%	-4%	1%	7%	29%
400	-34%	-7%	2%	10%	32%

Statistics on Economic Value of Equity, Parallel Shocks

Scenario	Loss	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	Gain
-100	-24%	-7%	-3%	2%	15%
100	-15%	-5%	0%	3%	12%
200	-30%	-9%	-2%	4%	20%
300	-53%	-14%	-4%	4%	28%
400	-72%	-22%	-8%	7%	36%

👩 abrigo" 🕂 👁 FARIN

73

73

Risk Levels – Banks \$1 - \$10 Billion Earnings at Risk - 12-Month, Net Interest Income, Parallel Shocks Largest Largest Loss 25th %ile Median 75th %ile Gain Scenario -100 -18% -6% -4% -2% 6% 2% 100 -9% -1% 4% 18% 200 -17% -2% 3% 7% 35% 300 -28% -4% 4% 10% 52% -39% 400 -6% 4% 11% 69% Statistics on Economic Value of Equity, Parallel Shocks Largest Largest 75th %ile Scenario 25th %ile Median Gain Loss -100 -33% -12% -3% 2% 15% 100 -13% -3% 1% 4% 18% 200 -23% -8% -1% 4% 30% 300 -35% -12% -3% 3% 40% 400 -47% -17% -7% 2% 58% 🚯 abrigo 🕂 + **SFARIN** 74











<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>











Common	Mistake	in ALM	Modeling
--------	---------	--------	----------

- Many ALM modelers fail to properly apply cost of increasing interest rates on surge balances
 - ALM model fails to "break out" the surge balance into separate line item
 - Pricing beta used on the account represents the "core" repricing
 - Result can be significant underestimation of interest expense in rising rates

- What if some level of surge balances moved by 75-90% of change in market rates?
 - Too much money being treated as low cost, long duration
 - Does this help explain why we have truncation?



🚯 abrigo 🕂

SFARIN





Common Pricing Assumptions – Beta Issues

- Simple lag\beta
 - Assign a number of months to "lag" market rate changes
 - Apply beta to the change
 - Options
 - Ignore recent historical changes in rates
 - Look back to recent rate changes and apply lags\betas
 - Example:
 - Lag changes by 3 months and apply 50% of rate change
 - Current offer rate = 0.25%
 - Immediate rate shock +2%
 - Offer rate increases by 0.5% (2% *.25%) in month 4 of the forecast
 - Not often do we see the rate driver correlation used for beta calculation
 - Likely a short term interest rate change like Fed Funds or Prime



- Advanced Formula Approach
 - Select an index rate (or multiple rates) that mirrors historical volatility
 - · One index for "core" balances
 - · Second index for "surge" balances
 - Recognizes that many funds in the account are likely to cost more as rates risk
 - Cost of retention of surge or,
 - Cost of replacement
 - Example:
 - 75% of balances @ current rate +25% change in 5 Yr CMT (25% beta), 12 mo. lag
 - 25% of balances @ current rate +90% change in Fed Funds (90% beta), 3 mo. lag





Deposit Pricing & Lags How Long Until the Slack Comes Out?



- What causes slack to come out?
- Growth in loan volume
- Decrease in deposit balances
- Increased competitive pressure
- Continued Fed movements
 - What about the decision to reduce the balance sheet?
- When do you respond?
 - Proactive moves cost NIM
 - Reactive moves threaten liquidity & NIM

Risk Limits – Banks < \$100 million

Statistics on Risk Limits for Parallel Shocks

	12-Month	, Net Interes	st Income	Economic Value of Equity				
Scenario	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile		
-100	-12%	-10%	-5%	-15%	-10%	-10%		
100	-12%	-10%	-5%	-15%	-10%	-10%		
200	-15%	-15%	-10%	-25%	-20%	-16%		
300	-23%	-20%	-15%	-35%	-30%	-25%		
400	-30%	-20%	-20%	-40%	-38%	-30%		

Statistics on Non-Maturity Deposit Assumptions

	+100 B	Ps Repricing	g Rates	Annual Deposit Decay Rates			
Deposit Type	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	
MMDA	25%	35%	50%	11%	22%	35%	
High Yield MMDA	25%	39%	45%	8%	20%	25%	
Now-Interest Checking	15%	21%	30%	10%	13%	20%	
Savings	15%	23%	30%	8%	13%	20%	
Non-Interest Bearing	-	17	177	10%	13%	20%	
Other	25%	50%	71%	5%	13%	20%	

🚯 abrigo 🕸 FARIN

93

93

Risk Limits – Banks \$100-250 million Statistics on Risk Limits for Parallel Shocks 12-Month, Net Interest Income Economic Value of Equity Scenario 25th %ile Median 75th %ile 25th %ile Median 75th %ile -100 -10% -8% -5% -15% -10% -10% 100 -5% -10% -8% -15% -10% -10% 200 -15% -12% -10% -25% -20% -15% 300 -20% -16% -15% -30% -30% -23% 400 -25% -20% -20% -40% -35% -30% Statistics on Non-Maturity Deposit Assumptions +100 BPs Repricing Rates Annual Deposit Decay Rates Deposit Type 25th %ile Median 75th %ile 25th %ile Median 75th %ile MMDA 25% 35% 50% 10% 20% 75% 33% 50% High Yield MMDA 6% 14% 30% 15% 20% 9% 14% Now-Interest Checking Savings 15% 22% 34% 8% 13% Non-Interest Bearing 9% 13% -15% 35% 71% 9% 18% Other 🚯 abrigo" 🕂 **SFARIN**

94

32%

20%

18%

17%

18%

27%







Risk Limits – Banks > \$10 Billion

Statistics on Risk Limits for Parallel Shocks

	12-Month	, Net Interes	st Income	Economic Value of Equity				
Scenario	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile		
-100	-10%	-8%	-5%	-15%	-10%	-8%		
100	-10%	-7%	-5%	-15%	-10%	-8%		
200	-15%	-10%	-8%	-22%	-20%	-15%		
300	-20%	-15%	-15%	-32%	-28%	-23%		
400	-25%	-21%	-20%	-43%	-33%	-30%		

Statistics on Non-Maturity Deposit Assumptions

	+100 B	Ps Repricing	g Rates	Annual Deposit Decay Rates			
Deposit Type	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	25th %ile	Median	75th %ile	
MMDA	38%	50%	65%	13%	18%	26%	
High Yield MMDA	50%	72%	75%	13%	16%	20%	
Now-Interest Checking	22%	25%	35%	10%	14%	16%	
Savings	15%	26%	53%	13%	18%	21%	
Non-Interest Bearing	-	-	-	12%	14%	17%	
Other	33%	43%	50%	8%	12%	24%	

98

🚯 abrigo" 🕂

SFARIN



/ledian Risk Lim	nit Co	mpai	rison			
EAR Limits	by shock l	oy size				
<	<\$100 mil	\$100-250	\$250-500	\$500-\$1B	\$1-10B	>\$10B
-100	-10%	-8%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-8%
100	-10%	-8%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-7%
200	-15%	-12%	-13%	-15%	-12%	-10%
300	-20%	-16%	-17%	-20%	-28%	-15%
400	-20%	-20%	-20%	-20%	-25%	-21%
EVE Limits	by shock l	oy size				
<	<\$100 mil	\$100-250	\$250-500	\$500-\$1B	\$1-10B	>\$10B
-100	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%	-10%
100	-10%	-10%	-10%	-12%	-10%	-10%
200	-20%	-20%	-20%	-20%	-20%	-20%
300	-30%	-30%	-30%	-30%	-30%	-28%
400	-38%	-35%	-35%	-35%	-30%	-33%

Median B	eta @ +100	bp shock b	oy size				
	<\$100 mil	\$100-250	\$250-500	\$500-\$1B	\$1-10B	>\$10B	
MMDA	35%	35%	40%	40%	50%	50%	
HY MMDA	39%	50%	50%	75%	70%	72%	
Int Check	21%	20%	20%	20%	25%	25%	
Savings	23%	22%	20%	25%	25%	26%	
NIB	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Other	50%	35%	38%	35%	63%	43%	

- What does that indicate about potential cost of surge in smaller banks?
- How can smaller banks prepare?

👩 abrigo" + 🐿 FARII	
	101
101	























<\$100 mil
MMDA 22% 20% 17% 19% 17% 26% HY MMDA 20% 14% 20% 20% 18% 20%
HY MMDA 20% 14% 20% 20% 18% 20%
Int Check 13% 14% 13% 12% 13% 16%
Savings 13% 13% 13% 14% 14% 21%
NIB 13% 13% 13% 13% 13% 17%
Other 13% 18% 16% 20% 11% 24%

• More SWAPs & hedging in larger banks than small

|--|

113

















ALCO Composition

- Head lending officer(s) by area
- Head of retail operations (deposit gathering)
- Chief financial officer
- President/CEO
- Marketing manager
- Board representation
- Any other team member with direct responsibility for managing business plan objectives.

🕥 abrigo	+	S FARIN	

ALCO Agenda

- Review prior minutes
- Review actual performance to projected
- Monitor status of prior actions
- Measure performance relative to
 - Policy limits
 - Peers
- Review trends and costs for recent funding
- Review economic outlook and interest rate forecasts
- Review income simulation results & assumptions

👩 abrigo	+	S FARIN	1

123

<section-header> ALCO Agenda (continued) Review market value results Current position Projected under various interest rate scenarios Review simulation results of any major actions or plans being considered Review current and projected liquidity positions Review non-earning asset and non-performing loans Review investment\borrowing activities Set loan & deposit targets for upcoming quarter.







Abrigo\FARIN Resources

- Advisory Services
 - From education to strategy we can recharge your approach to ALCO
- Capital Planning
 - Capital plan development
 - Integrated stress testing
 - Capital buffer calculations
- Asset/Liability Management
 - Institution managed
 - Outsourced

🕥 abrigo 🕂 🥸 FARIN

- Core Deposit Analysis
 - Vintage analysis
 - Sensitivity testing
- Credit Services
 - CECL ready ALLL calculation
 - Dual loan grading & migration
 - Credit stress testing
 - Customer management & tracking
- Loan & Deposit Pricing
 - Integrate CFO analytics with needs of the front-line
 - Strategy development